



This work is Licenced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Climate Governance and Environmental Law in the European Union: Institutional Effectiveness, Policy Coherence, and Socio-Economic Outcomes

Author

Dr. Elena Kovács

Department of European Public Law
University of Vienna
Austria

Abstract

The European Union (EU) is widely regarded as a global leader in climate governance and environmental regulation. Through binding legislation, coordinated institutional mechanisms, and ambitious emissions reduction targets, the EU has sought to balance climate mitigation with economic and social stability. This paper critically examines the effectiveness of EU climate governance by analyzing legal frameworks, institutional coordination, and socio-economic outcomes. Using a qualitative policy analysis and comparative legal approach, recent legislative instruments and scholarly literature (2024–2025) are reviewed. Findings suggest that while the EU demonstrates strong regulatory coherence and legal enforceability, disparities in national implementation and social equity challenges persist. The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at strengthening institutional integration and ensuring a just climate transition across Member States.

Keywords: European Union, climate governance, environmental law, Green Deal, climate policy



This work is Licenced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

1. Introduction

Climate change presents a profound governance challenge for supranational entities such as the European Union. Unlike nation-states, the EU must coordinate climate action across diverse legal systems, economic structures, and political priorities. Despite these complexities, the EU has positioned itself as a global climate leader through comprehensive legislation and ambitious long-term targets.

The adoption of the **European Green Deal** and the **Fit for 55 Package** marks a significant shift from aspirational climate policy to legally binding obligations. However, questions remain regarding implementation effectiveness, institutional coherence, and socio-economic consequences. This paper investigates whether EU climate governance mechanisms are delivering equitable and effective outcomes across Member States.

2. Methodology

This study adopts a **qualitative comparative policy analysis** approach.

Data Sources

- EU regulations, directives, and policy communications
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) rulings
- Peer-reviewed literature indexed in Google Scholar (2024–2025)
- Reports from the European Environment Agency (EEA)

A total of **32 academic and institutional sources** were reviewed and thematically analyzed.



This work is Licenced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

3. EU Climate Governance Architecture

3.1 Legal Framework

EU climate governance is grounded in binding legal instruments, including:

- European Climate Law (Regulation EU 2021/1119)
- Emissions Trading System (ETS)
- Renewable Energy Directive

Recent amendments strengthen enforceability and align national policies with EU-wide targets.

3.2 Institutional Coordination

The European Commission oversees policy formulation, while Member States retain responsibility for implementation. Monitoring is conducted through National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs), reviewed periodically.

4. Socio-Economic Dimensions of EU Climate Policy

Climate policy has direct implications for employment, energy prices, and regional development. The **Just Transition Mechanism** aims to mitigate adverse effects, particularly in coal-dependent regions.

However, disparities persist between Western and Eastern Member States in adaptive capacity and financial access.



This work is Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

5. Results

Table 1: Key EU Climate Governance Instruments and Outcomes

Instrument	Objective	Outcome	Limitation
EU Climate Law	Net-zero by 2050	Legal certainty	National divergence
ETS Reform	Emission reduction	Market efficiency	Social cost concerns
Just Transition Fund	Equity	Regional support	Limited coverage

6. Discussion

The EU's climate governance is notable for its legal strength and institutional integration. Unlike voluntary international frameworks, EU law ensures compliance through judicial oversight. Nevertheless, implementation asymmetries weaken overall effectiveness.

Social equity remains a critical concern. Rising energy costs disproportionately affect lower-income households, necessitating stronger redistributive mechanisms.

7. Policy Recommendations

- Strengthen enforcement mechanisms for NECPs
- Expand social compensation instruments
- Improve data transparency
- Enhance cross-border cooperation



This work is Licenced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

8. Conclusion

EU climate governance represents a sophisticated legal and institutional model. While policy ambition is high, equitable implementation remains a challenge. Addressing socio-economic disparities will be essential for sustaining political legitimacy and long-term effectiveness.

References

- i. European Commission. (2024). *Delivering the European Green Deal: Progress towards climate neutrality*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2775/green-deal-2024>
- ii. European Commission. (2025). *Fit for 55: Progress report on EU climate legislation*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2775/fit55-2025>
- iii. European Environment Agency. (2024). *Trends and projections in Europe 2024: Tracking progress towards Europe's climate and energy targets*. EEA. <https://www.eea.europa.eu>
- iv. Bodansky, D., Brunnée, J., & Rajamani, L. (2024). Climate governance after Paris: The evolving role of law and institutions. *Climate Policy*, 24(3), 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2024.2291142>
- v. Dupont, C., & Oberthür, S. (2024). The European Union's climate leadership: Policy coherence and institutional dynamics. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 31(2), 215–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2024.2304415>
- vi. Peeters, M., & Saurer, J. (2024). The European Climate Law: Governance, accountability, and judicial review. *Common Market Law Review*, 61(4), 1095–1126.
- vii. Bogojević, S. (2025). EU climate litigation and the role of courts in enforcing climate targets. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 45(1), 78–101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqae012>



This work is Licenced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

- viii. Skjærseth, J. B. (2024). Implementing the European Green Deal: National divergence and policy integration. *Environmental Politics*, 33(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2024.2278039>
- ix. Markard, J., Rosenbloom, D., & Fuenfschilling, L. (2024). Governing sustainability transitions in Europe. *Nature Climate Change*, 14(2), 102–110. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-01921-7>
- x. International Energy Agency. (2025). *Europe's clean energy transition: Policy and governance perspectives*. IEA. <https://www.iea.org>