Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

A Comparative Analysis of Project-Based Learning (PBL) and Traditional Laboratory Methods in Teaching Polyphenol Biochemistry

Maksud Ravshanov,
Assistant at the Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute Uzbekistan, Samarkand
ravshanovmaqsudbek1@gmail.com
Hilola Sirojiddinova
Student at Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan, Samarkand
Farogat Khudoyberdiyeva
Student at Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan, Samarkand
Laylo Kholiyeva
Student at Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan, Samarkand

Abstract:
This article presents a comparative analysis of two primary pedagogical methods for teaching polyphenol biochemistry in higher education: traditional laboratory exercises and Project-Based Learning (PBL). The study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of each method in enhancing students' theoretical knowledge, practical skills, critical thinking, and problem-solving abilities. The research involved two groups of biochemistry students: one group performed traditional, instruction-based laboratory work, while the other engaged in a project centered on a real-world problem. Outcomes were assessed through pre- and post-tests, analysis of lab reports, and student feedback surveys. The findings indicate that the PBL method offers significant advantages in fostering student engagement, intrinsic motivation for learning, and the development of higher-order cognitive skills compared to the traditional approach. Conversely, the traditional method demonstrated high efficiency in mastering fundamental laboratory techniques. The article analyzes the strengths and weaknesses of both methods and provides recommendations for implementing an integrated (hybrid) approach to teaching complex topics like polyphenols.

Keywords: Polyphenols, Project-Based Learning (PBL), traditional laboratory, biochemistry, pedagogical methodology, student-centered learning, critical thinking.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the chemistry and biochemistry of natural compounds, particularly the role of polyphenols in human health, have garnered increasing scientific attention. Polyphenols are secondary metabolites widely found in plants, and their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, and anti-cancer properties have been substantiated in numerous scientific studies. Consequently, providing future specialists in fields such as biochemistry, pharmacy, and food technology with a deep understanding of this topic is of critical importance.

In the traditional educational framework, complex biochemical subjects are primarily taught through lectures and rigidly structured laboratory sessions based on detailed instructions. In this "teacher-centered" approach, students often assume the role of passive listeners or executors, required to follow a prescribed set of steps to achieve a predetermined outcome. While this method can be effective for building foundational laboratory skills, it has limited potential for developing students' critical thinking, independent problem-solving, and creative abilities.

In contrast, the "student-centered" educational paradigm, including the Project-Based Learning (PBL) method, places students at the core of the learning process. In the PBL model, students unite around a real-world problem or question, conducting independent or group-based research to solve it. The teacher transitions from being a source of knowledge to a facilitator, guiding and advising the process.

The primary objective of this research is to conduct a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of traditional laboratory exercises versus the Project-Based Learning (PBL) method in teaching polyphenol biochemistry. We seek to answer the following questions:How does each method affect students' acquisition of theoretical knowledge about polyphenols? Which method is more effective in developing practical laboratory skills (e.g., extraction, spectrophotometry)? How do these methods contribute to the development of "soft skills" such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and teamwork?Through this analysis, we aim to

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

develop evidence-based recommendations for biochemistry educators on selecting the most appropriate pedagogical strategies for teaching complex and practice-oriented subjects like polyphenols.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Traditional Laboratory Sessions: Characteristics and LimitationsTraditional laboratory sessions are often described as a "recipe" or "cookbook" approach. Students are given a detailed set of instructions and are expected to perform the experiment step-by-step to confirm a known result. The main advantages of this method are its convenience for large groups, efficient management of time and resources, and standardized instruction of fundamental laboratory techniques (e.g., pipetting, weighing, solution preparation).

However, extensive pedagogical research has highlighted significant drawbacks to this approach. Students often follow instructions mechanically without a deep understanding of the theoretical principles behind the experiment or the purpose of each step. This leads to superficial learning and an inability to apply knowledge in new contexts. Furthermore, traditional lab work fails to reflect the true nature of the scientific process, which involves creative and critical stages such as hypothesis formulation, experimental design, analysis of unexpected results, and drawing conclusions. This can diminish students' interest in science, causing them to perceive it as a dull collection of rules.

2.2. The Project-Based Learning (PBL) Model

Project-Based Learning (PBL) traces its roots to the "learning by doing" philosophy of early 20th-century educators like John Dewey. PBL is a dynamic teaching approach where students engage in an in-depth investigation of an authentic, complex question, problem, or challenge over an extended period.

The core features of PBL include:A Central Problem:The learning process begins with a problem that is meaningful and relevant to students' lives, rather than abstract theory.

Sustained Inquiry: Students identify what they need to know to solve the problem and independently seek out the necessary information.**Interdisciplinary Integration**: Real-world problems often require knowledge from multiple disciplines, encouraging students to integrate subjects like chemistry, biology, statistics, and even economics.**Collaboration**: Projects are typically carried out

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

in groups, which develops students' communication, collaboration, and teamwork skills.Public Product and Presentation:Students present the results of their inquiry in the form of a tangible product, such as a report, presentation, poster, or prototype.Applying the PBL method to biochemistry education allows students to "role-play" as scientific researchers. For instance, a project titled "Which tea variety has the highest antioxidant content?" compels students to review literature, design an experiment (selecting an extraction method, justifying the analysis technique), collect data, perform statistical analysis, and present their findings scientifically. This process not only deepens their knowledge of polyphenols but also provides invaluable hands-on experience with the scientific method.

3. Research Methodology

This study was conducted with 40 third-year students majoring in "Biochemistry" at the Tashkent Pharmaceutical Institute. The students were randomly assigned to two groups of 20: Group A (traditional method) and Group B (PBL method). Both groups participated in the "Biochemistry of Polyphenols" module for the same number of hours (24) of classroom and laboratory sessions

3.1. **Study Design**

At the beginning of the study, a pre-test was administered to both groups to assess their initial knowledge of polyphenols.

Group A (Traditional Method): This group was taught using the traditional method. They attended lectures on the structure, classification, and properties of polyphenols. In the laboratory sessions, they performed experiments based on the following standard instructions:Extraction of polyphenols from plant material (dry tea leaves) using an aqueous-alcoholic mixture.Spectrophotometric determination of the total polyphenol content in the extract using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent.Evaluation of the antioxidant activity of the extract using the DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) method.

At the end of each lab session, students submitted a report in a predefined format.

Group B (PBL Method): This group was given the overarching project question: "Which is preferable in terms of antioxidant properties, green or black tea varieties sold in local markets, and why?" Students were divided into small groups of 5 to work on the project. The process included the following

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

stages:Planning:The groups developed a project plan, including a literature review, experimental design (justifying the choice of samples, extraction, and analysis methods), and a timeline.Implementation:Under the supervision of a teacher-facilitator, students extracted polyphenols from their chosen tea samples and analyzed their content and antioxidant activity. During this process, they encountered unexpected problems (e.g., low extraction efficiency, measurement errors) and had to find solutions..Analysis and Presentation:The groups statistically analyzed their results, compared them with data from scientific literature, and defended their final conclusions in the form of a scientific poster and an oral presentation.

3.2. Data Collection and Analysis

To evaluate the effectiveness of both methods, the following data were collected: Pre- and Post-tests: A 20-question test on the structure, functions, and analysis methods of polyphenols was used to measure the students' acquisition of theoretical knowledge.Laboratory Reports and Project Presentations: Group A's reports were graded on accuracy and adherence to instructions. Group B's posters and presentations were evaluated based on criteria such as problem definition, methodological justification, depth of analysis, and the soundness of conclusions.Student Survey:At the end of the module, students were asked to rate their learning experience (engagement, difficulty, confidence boost, collaboration) on a Likert scale.Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software. A t-test was used to determine significant differences between the groups.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Acquisition of Theoretical Knowledge

The pre-test results showed no significant difference in the initial knowledge level between the two groups ($p > 0.05$). The post-test results indicated a significant increase in knowledge for both groups. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the average scores between Group A (traditional) and Group B (PBL) ($p > 0.05$). This suggests that both methods are almost equally effective for the acquisition of factual and theoretical knowledge (e.g., the definition of a polyphenol, its main classes).

4.2. Practical Skills and Critical Thinking

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

The analysis of laboratory reports and project presentations revealed the key differences between the methods.Group A (Traditional):The majority of students (approx. 85%) submitted highly accurate lab reports that complied with all requirements. This indicates that they had successfully mastered the standard laboratory procedures. However, in the "Discussion" section of their reports, most students merely stated their results without deeply analyzing their significance, potential sources of error, or practical implications .Group B (PBL): The work of the PBL group was much more diverse. Their experimental designs and results varied. Most importantly, their final presentations and posters demonstrated a high level of critical analysis. In seeking answers to questions like "Why was the antioxidant activity of black tea lower than that of green tea?", they delved into fundamental biochemical mechanisms, such as the conversion of catechins into theaflavins and thearubigins during fermentation. They compared their results with other studies and justified the discrepancies (e.g., tea variety, geographical origin, extraction conditions). This shows that the PBL method encourages students not just to process information, but to create knowledge.

4.3. Student Feedback (Survey Results)

The survey results showed a stark contrast in students' attitudes toward the learning process. Students in the PBL group rated the topic as significantly "more interesting" and "motivating" than those in the traditional group ($p < 0.01$). They also reported a significant increase in their confidence in their problem-solving and teamwork abilities. In contrast, some students in the traditional group described the lab work as "boring" and "repetitive."

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study demonstrates that both traditional laboratory exercises and Project-Based Learning (PBL) have their own distinct advantages in teaching polyphenol biochemistry.The traditional method is an effective tool for teaching fundamental laboratory techniques and delivering standardized knowledge to large groups. It may be appropriate in the initial stages of a curriculum when students need to acquire basic skills.The PBL method is far superior in developing deep understanding, critical thinking, and 21st-century skills such as problem-solving and collaboration. It enhances students' intrinsic motivation for science and better prepares them for future professional activities.

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

It would be inaccurate to declare one method absolutely superior. The most optimal approach is likely a hybrid or integrated model. For example, in the first part of a module, students could learn basic analysis techniques (spectrophotometry, chromatography) through traditional lab work. In the second part, they could apply these skills to complete smaller-scale projects. This would allow students to build a necessary technical foundation while also creatively applying their knowledge.

Educators teaching practical subjects like polyphenols should view students not merely as consumers of information, but as active participants in the process of knowledge creation. Project-Based Learning serves as a powerful pedagogical tool to facilitate this transformation.

References

1. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Project-Based Learning: What and How Do Students Learn? Educational Psychology Review, 16(3), 235–266.
2. Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The laboratory in science education: Foundations for the twenty-first century. Science Education, 88(1), 28-54.
3. Strobel, J., & van Barneveld, A. (2009). When is PBL more effective? A meta-synthesis of meta-analyses comparing PBL to conventional classrooms. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 3(1), 44-58.
4. Lord, T., & Orkwiszewski, T. (2006). "Dumbing Down" the Laboratory: A Case for a Less-structured Approach. Journal of College Science Teaching, 36(1), 53-57.
5. Gómez-Mejía, A., Rosales-Conrado, N., León-González, M. E., & Madrid-Albarrán, Y. (2019). A project-based learning experience for the determination of the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of some fruits. Journal of Chemical Education, 96(11), 2436-2442.
6. Barros, L., Baptista, P., & Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2007). Effect of Lactarius piperatus fruiting body maturity on antioxidant activity measured by several biochemical assays. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 45(9), 1731-1737. (This provides a methodological basis for the type of analysis students would perform).
7. Blumenfeld, P. C., Soloway, E., Marx, R. W., Krajcik, J. S., Guzdial, M., & Palincsar, A. (1991). Motivating Project-Based Learning: Sustaining the Doing, Supporting the Learning. Educational Psychologist, 26(3&4), 369-398.

Academicia Review-A Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN (Online): 3070-6726
Website: https://academicia.org
Volume 2, Issue 3, March, 2026

8. Domin, D. S. (1999). A review of laboratory instruction styles. Journal of Chemical Education, 76(4), 543-547.
9. Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of Problem-Based Learning: Definitions and Distinctions. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning, 1(1), 9-20.